UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,494	04/15/2005	Gareth G. Hougham	YOR920020293US1 (16348)	9075
	7590 11/19/200 TT MURPHY & PRES	EXAMINER .		
400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA			HARVEY, JAMES R	
SUITE 300 GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2833	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)			
		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summer	10/531,494	HOUGHAM ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	James R. Harvey	2833			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNI	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	4 August 2007.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ ⁻	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6,13,21 and 28</u> is 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-12,14-20,22-27,29 and 30</u> is 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and subject to restri	/are withdrawn from considers/are rejected.	ation.			
Application Papers	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 15 April 2005 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ object the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. Lents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summon (PTO 413)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 			

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

• Applicant's election of Claims 1-5,7-12, 14-20, 22-27, 29 and 30 of Species 2 in the reply filed on 8-14-07 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim(s) 6,13,21 and 28 is (are) withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

• The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

• The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

• The following claims is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In reference to Claim(s) 10, the recitation "plating on only the external surface of the contact button" is vague and indefinite. A examination on the merits (as best understood) is addressed herein.

Appropriate correction of the above is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10/531,494 Art Unit: 2833

• The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- ** The following claim(s) is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Crotzer (5599193; herein referred to as cc).

In reference to Claim(s) 1, cc shows a button (figure 2) and conductive material 22 (column 2, line 46).

In reference to Claim(s) 2, cc shows siloxane (column 2, line 49).

The meaning of "siloxane" is not set forth in the claims and is thus deemed to be so broad that it is met by the applied reference.

silicone (siloxane)

A polymer based on the structural motif in which two alkyl groups are attached to a silicon atom which is part of a chain of alternating silicon and oxygen atoms, as in [-Si(CH3)20-]n. They are produced

10/531,494 Art Unit: 2833

by hydrolysis of the corresponding dichlorides. They form water-repellent oils, waxes and rubbers.

The New Penguin Dictionary of Science, © M. J. Clugston 1998

In reference to Claim(s) 3 and 4, cc shows copper (column 3, lines 55-65).

In reference to Claim(s) 5, cc shows the button is covered ("fully coated") (column 4, line 45).

In reference to Claim(s) 6, it has been withdrawn.

In reference to Claim(s) 9, cc shows sputtering (column 2, lines 50-60) and mask (column 4, lines 15-20) as claimed.

In reference to Claim(s) 10, as best understood, cc shows (column 4, line 55) plating (column 2, line 55) and (column 4, line 31).

** The following claim(s) is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over cc.

In reference to Claim(s) 7 and 8 and 11, cc shows substantially the invention as claimed.

Cc shows conductive coating goes the through hole as it is being applied to the button (column 2, line 10), but is not explicit as to the through hole being metalized while the button is receiving the metal. Further, cc discusses many different configurations (column 5, lines 13 and 30) to retain the elements (i.e. buttons) within the cavities.

The examiner makes official notice that it is known in the art that carrier sheets often have metallized through-holes.

Art Unit: 2833

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to be able to plate the through-hole of the carrier sheet.

The rationale for arriving at the conclusion of obviousness is the combining prior art structures yields predictable results is that all of the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods or procedures, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention or one skilled in the art would have been motivated to use the plated through-hole in order to securely retain the button to the carrier by soldering the plated button to the plated through-hole.

In reference to Claim(s) 12, cc shows substantially the invention as claimed.

However, as discussed above, cc is not explicit as to the details of the metalized through hole or a metal ring as claimed.

The examiner makes official notice that It is known in the art that metalized throughholes have metal rings connected as claimed.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a carrier with a metallized through-hole having a ring as claimed.

All of the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods or procedures, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

In reference to Claim(s) 13, it has been withdrawn.

In reference to Claim(s) 14 and 15, cc shows substantially the invention as claimed.

However, cc is not explicit as to the details of the carrier 12 being of a particular plastic or polyamide material composition.

The examiner makes official notice that It is known in the art to have carriers made from such materials.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to be able to use the claimed materials in the carrier of cc.

All of the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods or procedures, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

In reference to Claim(s) 16-30, with the exception of withdrawn method claims 21 and 28, all of the structure from the method claims 16-30 is shown by cc.

Further, the claims are not seen to show any new methods of manufacturing, but rather apply known methods were there are a finite possible ways of completing the method of plating a dielectric.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to be able to produce the invention of cc as claimed in applicant's method claims.

The rationale for such a rejection is that where "there is a design need or market pressure to solve a problem, and there are a finite number of identified, predictable solutions, a person of ordinary skill has good reason to pursue the known options within his or her technical grasp. If this leads to the anticipated success, it is likely

10/531,494 Art Unit: 2833

the product not of innovation but of ordinary skill and common sense." KSR INTERNATIONAL CO. v. TELEFLEX INC., 550U.S., 82USPQ2d 1385 (2007).

Conclusion

- The prior art listed on PTO form 892 that is made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure because it shows the state of the art with respect to applicant's claimed invention.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James R. Harvey whose telephone number is 571-272-2007. The examiner can normally be reached from 8:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley can be reached on 571-272-2800 extension 33.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2800.

- Applicant is encouraged to send correspondence through the USPTO fax number 571-273-8300.
- Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/James Harvey/ James Harvey Primary Examiner

irh

November 4, 2007